UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,847	03/04/2004	Alexander Kanaris	669-159 RCE	3857
	7590 06/18/200 & BARON , LLP		EXAMINER	
6900 JERICHO	TURNPIKE		NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST	
SYOSSET, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,847	KANARIS, ALEXANDER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LESLIE A. NICHOLSON III	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	/ IO OFT TO EVEIDE A MONTH	0) OD THIDTY (00) BANG				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	av 2008.					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,13-15,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-12,16-19</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
212 mg manager and determined a line	5 55 55 55.55 Not 1000100					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,847 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim1,3-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Due to Applicants amendments, all previous claim objections are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,3,20,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardella USP 3,599,769 in view of Agnoff USP 6,419,070.

Gardella discloses a motorized conveyor roller and method of inhibiting contact comprising a rotatable portion comprising a rotatable roller tube (2) and a first and second non-rotatable hollow tube (6), each said non-rotatable tube extending axially outward adjacent from said rotatable portion and having a radial end surface (fig.1,3), but does not expressly disclose the non-rotatable tubes having a diameter substantially the same as a diameter of said rotatable portion.

Agnoff teaches bearing shields (40) having a diameter substantially the same as a diameter of said rotatable portion for the purpose of adequately protecting the bearings from dirt and debris.

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the non-rotatable tubes be a diameter substantially the same as a diameter of said rotatable portion, as taught by Agnoff, in the device and method of Gardella, for the purpose of adequately protecting the bearings from dirt and debris.

4. Claims 4,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardella USP 3,599,769 in view of Agnoff USP 6,419,070 further in view of Hill USP 6,443,295.

Gardella discloses all the limitations of the claim, but does not expressly disclose the roller tube including a motor.

Hill teaches the roller tube including a motor (44) for the purpose of easily controlling individual rollers.

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to include the roller tube with a motor, as taught by Hill, in the device of Gardella, for the purpose of easily controlling individual rollers.

Gardella further discloses:

 Wherein said first and second cylindrical ends are axially disposed about a central shaft (3) 5. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardella USP 3,599,769 in view of Agnoff USP 6,419,070.

Gardella discloses all the limitations of the claim (see ¶3), but does not expressly disclose first and second spaced apart stationary shafts or the non-rotatable tubes having a diameter substantially the same as a diameter of said rotatable portion.

Agnoff teaches first and second spaced apart stationary shafts (50) (fig.1) (C4/L44-46) for the purpose of reducing cost of the roller (C2/L34-40).

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ first and second spaced apart stationary shafts, as taught by Agnoff, in the device of Gardella, for the purpose of reducing cost of the roller.

Agnoff teaches bearing shields (40) having a diameter substantially the same as a diameter of said rotatable portion for the purpose of adequately protecting the bearings from dirt and debris.

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the non-rotatable tubes be a diameter substantially the same as a diameter of said rotatable portion, as taught by Agnoff, in the device and method of Gardella, for the purpose of adequately protecting the bearings from dirt and debris.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-12,16-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LESLIE A. NICHOLSON III whose telephone number is (571)272-5487. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on 571-272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gene Crawford/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3651

/L. A. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3651 6/13/2008